

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,340	09/16/2003	Junji Kobayashi	H64-154706M/MNN	9314	
21254 7590 03/15/2007 MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			EXAMINER		
			DOTE, JANIS L		
SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1756		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/662,340	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Janis L. Dote	1756	

Advisory Action	10/662,340 KOBAYASHI ET AL.		•
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Janis L. Dote	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection whi	:-b:- - t
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	**	36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing that the mailing date the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	: 102(b)/103(a) rejection of claim 5	over Onuma	1 1 OL-024).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			nt canceling the
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) 🛛 wil	I be entered and an e	xplanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1.4.6-14 and 20</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attached paragraph 1 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
I3.	·	JANIS L. DOTE PRIMARY EXAMINEI GROUP 1500	5 R
		1700	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/662,340

Art Unit: 1756

1. Applicants' arguments regarding the objection to the specification under 35 USC 132, set forth in the final rejection mailed on Jan. 12, 2007, paragraph 3, are not persuasive for the reasons set forth in the final rejection. Accordingly, the objection stands.

Page 2

Since the objection to the specification under 35 USC 132 was made prior to the final office action, finality of the last office action mailed on Jan. 12, 2007, is maintained. See MPEP 706.07(f)III(L), page 700-89.